

5 Year Environmental Implementation Plan

2019 – 2023
Version 11.0
30 June 2021

Author:	Principal Advisor	Jonathon Dalton
Reviewer:	Manager - Tailings & Environment	Trent Scherer
Approver:	General Manager	Pine Pienaar

Environment Improvement Projects

The environmental improvement project outlined in this implementation plan have been grouped into key focus areas shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of improvement projects

Focus Area	Key Objective
Organisation cultural change	Risk reduction through empowering the entire workforce
Air Quality Management	Emission/particulate and risk reduction
Water Management	Impact mitigation
Land Management	Mitigate contamination: safe, stable and sustainable
Other	Amenity impact reduction

Explanation of the Implementation Schedule

The implementation schedule shown after each project table shows the study, engineering or execution phase, which are described below:

Study Phase

Projects shown in study phase are at the earliest part of the implementation process and require work to identify and assess options that will deliver the required environmental outcome. The outcome of the study phase is to determine the option that best meets the defined performance criteria. In selecting the preferred option during the study phase to take to the engineering phase, options are assessed against a number of criteria, including,

- How effectively each option mitigates the risk,
- Can the project be delivered in the required timeframe?
- What is the cost of each option compared to the expected environmental outcome?
- Can the option be practically constructed?
- Can the option be implemented within an operating refinery?
- What approvals will be required to deliver the option?
- Can the option be delivered safely?

Some projects are quite complex requiring more time and resources in determining the right option. Consequently the outcome of the study phase for a project will deliver the option to take forward to the engineering phase.

Engineering Phase

The engineering phase is where the detailed work is carried out and a set of documents is developed to allow the project to move into the final execution phase. The engineering phase covers traditional mechanical, civil, structural, process and electrical engineering input, which will provide a detailed design that can be constructed to meet applicable Australian, Queensland and QAL standards. Other aspects covered in the engineering phase include any required Commonwealth, Queensland and Local government approvals pertaining to environmental, planning and cultural heritage legislation. These approvals can only commence once the project design is nearing completion.

The output from the engineering phase will be a detailed design and issued for construction drawings (where required) that are based on risk reviews (process, safety, health, environmental and cultural), constructability reviews as well as hazard and operability assessments.

As stated, some projects will require a number of approvals from the Commonwealth, State and Local governments with approval time frames that may extend up to a year during the engineering phase for some of the more complex projects.

Towards the completion of the engineering phase QAL will be able to determine a more detailed performance indicator to demonstrate the environmental improvement at the completion of execution.

Execution Phase

The output of the engineering phase will be a package of work that will generally include a number of deliverables that will be tracked during the execution phase. The type and complexity of the project will determine the number of deliverables required and how the deliverables are phased, as some may need to be finished before another can begin.

How to Interpret the Tables

The study (gold) and engineering (blue) phase schedules are based on delivering milestones at the end of a specified quarter, i.e 2019 Q1 means Quarter 1 2019 January to March. The execution phase (green) schedules are based on delivering milestones within a calendar month.

This example shows the study phase milestone for a project is due in quarter 4 in 2018. This means that during quarter 4 the project will transition into the engineering phase and the implementation plan will be updated accordingly.

Task	Project Name					
	2018		2019			
	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Description						

This example shows the engineering phase milestone due in 2019 quarter 2 and the implementation plan will be updated in quarter 2 to show the execution phase.

Task	Project Name					
	2018		2019			
	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Description						

This example shows the execution phase will take 1 and a half years with the completion date of the project in April 2020.

Task	Project Name											
	2019				2020				2021			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Project Description												

Organisational Cultural Change

QAL recognises that the culture of an organisation is a significant factor impacting the environmental performance of a business. While leadership commitment and governance structures are important to ensure that environmental improvement projects are effectively executed; our environmental improvement program will only succeed if we empower all of our employees to have due and proper consideration of environmental obligations and risks in their everyday tasks.

Redefining our site culture begins with our workforce having a greater understanding of the process safety aspects of our operation, and the significant potential environmental and community impact our operations can have. We then need to empower our workforce to reduce risk around process and environmental concerns to avoid them and respond when potential process safety and environmental concerns arise. The introduction of a disciplined system for organizing workplaces and the broader QAL operating environment is underway.

Improved housekeeping and understanding of process safety critical controls will lead to early detection of leaks, spillage and situations that could lead to potential environmental impacts.

Project (C1)	Site Cultural Change
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Failure of systems causing environmental incidents that impact on the receiving environment and community
Project solution / options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve process safety and environmental risk detection for all QAL employees through a Process Safety Management System (PSMS) that ensures critical controls are identified and verified. - All QAL employees involved with ensuring critical controls are in place are aware of the importance of these controls and that they will be verified. - Cultural change will be incorporated into the operations systems including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Functional workplace program • Annual environmental training for all employees • Daily HSE meetings (environment in work preparation) • Quarterly business updates, led by site senior executives • Bi-annual engagement survey • Purple banner reporting which includes the introduction of reporting category focused exclusively on environmental incidents
Objective / performance outcome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Greater awareness of environmental risk and employee's role in controlling these risks. - Improved culture relating to process safety and environmental risk management throughout the entire organisation through the implementation of a PSMS.
Performance Indicator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 90% of major hazard critical control health checks completed within the first 12 months after the PSMS is implemented across site (end of 2020). - Greater than 95% of employees completing annual environmental awareness training.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- \$1 annually
Project Stage	<p>Executed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PSM Major Hazards defined, reviewed and results of the activities that determine if critical controls will work when called upon reviewed monthly by the Management Team.

Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last quarterly business update completed in February / March, next one due early July. - At least one example of functional workplace implemented in every section onsite, with several in many sections. Continued deployment required until full site coverage is achieved. - All PSM major hazard workshops complete with monthly results reported to the board. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCMP process (deep dive by GM on Critical Controls) ongoing on completed major hazards. • Monthly managers review process implemented and chaired by General Manager. • A full review process has been completed on every Major Hazard (~1/2day workshop per Major Hazard). • A Pathway-to-Compliance has been developed for every Major Hazard and is tracked monthly. - Annual environmental training updated and implemented in January; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • >90% of employees have completed Environmental Web Based Training in 2021 (>95% in 2018-20). - ERM organisation psychologist team supporting the organisational cultural change process, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of >75 actions focusing on environmental stewardship, • Actions are fully integrated into the QAL Business Strategy, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waste Management and actions arising out of the QAL Environment Committee will be the primary focus areas in 2021. • Pulse survey planned for May, to confirm actions are engaging the QAL workforce, has been moved to September, due to mid-improvement program celebrations.. •
----------	--

Implementation Schedule

Site Culture Change									
Task	2018		2019			2020			
	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Site Cultural Change	Complete		Complete			Complete			
Study	Engineering	Execution							

Air Quality Improvement Projects


QAL's 5-year air quality improvement plan, supported by targets, actions and programme of works is summarised below. Capital spend is estimated at between A\$19 million to A\$38 million.

Project (A1)	Alkali Release Off-Site
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Alkali release to atmosphere with potential to impact air quality and property in the surrounding communities
Project solution / options	Identify sources at the refinery that can release alkali and put in place measures to reduce the risk of the release occurring. An initial suite of alkali emissions reduction projects are in execution. Stage 2 of the alkali emissions reduction project will include further study to identify further alkali sources where the risk of release can be reduced
Objective / performance outcome	- Sources will be identified where actions can be taken to reduce likelihood and consequence of alkali release - Reduce identified Class III and IV alkali release risks to Class I and II to mitigate impact to the community
Performance Indicator	- Study phase identifies options to reduce emissions from alkali sources in the refinery and they are progressed to engineering. - No alkali release events from completed projects due to QAL operations or maintenance activities - All emission sources assess as Class III and IV risk are identified and an engineering solution is developed or investigations exhausted to reduce risk to Class I and II.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 26.0

<p>Project Stage</p>	<p>Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Digestion relief tank, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study phase has determined that the most appropriate options to reduce the risk of an uncontrolled alkali release from the digestion units are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Digestion relief system - containment system <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The design will look at improving separation of steam and slurry through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ More efficient design of the entrainment separators, ○ Improving separation efficiency in the relief tanks. It is expected that the Unit 2 relief tank will be replaced as part of this project. Improvements in Unit 1 and 3 relief tanks have already been delivered through removal of redundant equipment inside the relief tanks. • The schedule for the study phase for the containment system has extended to allow for internal approvals to be completed. This project will move into the engineering phase in Q3 2021. ▪ Digestion relief system – front end. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Install slurry shut off valves between the digester and the first flash tank to stop the flow of energy from the digester during a relief event. • Work that was planned to be completed in the engineering phase was brought forward into the study phase. A functional design for the project was developed during study phase to provide certainty that the proposed options will work safely within the existing systems. • It is expected that this project will move into the engineering phase in Q3 2021. <p>Execution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Install second new oxalate tank. - Install an upgraded temperature control valve on the holding tanks contact heater steam supply line to manage steam supply to the contact heater, including stopping steam flow during upset conditions that may cause alkali emissions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further engineering work was required when the project was reviewed for installation. It is expected that the project will be delivered in Q3 2021. • . - Bottom fill precipitators – reduce turbulence in the top of the tanks during filling to stop alkali misting. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delays due to emergent work have caused the execution schedule to move into 2021. -
----------------------	--

	<p>Projects completed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Existing equipment (identified as Class III and IV Risk) including piping, valving and fixtures on the Digester Pilot Steam Lines and the Flash Tank pilot steam lines have been replaced. - New oxalate vent tank has been installed and commissioned. - Upgrade and install shrouds on expansion joints; - Flash tank relief header shroud installation; - Digestion heater vent diversion; - Flash tank relief header shroud installation; - Upgrade and install shrouds on expansion joints, - Remote stop for precip cooling towers – precip cooling tower fans to be stopped by the control room if conductivity of cooling water increases (conductivity increases are likely due to caustic liquor ingress) - HP blowdown line redirection – redirect blowdown lines to reduce steam and alkali at the entrainment separators.
<p>Comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New projects from PSM workshops and stage 2 alkali workshops have been included in the alkali reduction projects schedule, further projects are being reviewed and may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Precip elevated pipelines in whiteside, • Press floor mist reduction, • Export steam systems in digestion, • Digestion spent liquor injection pumps and pipes, and • Digestion slurry pipework.

Implementation Schedule






 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Alkali Release Off-Site

Legend

 Alkali and Odour Emissions Reduction

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
 This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it is issued and is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited. Queensland Alumina Limited is not responsible for any loss or damage arising from the use of this document.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58
 Scale at A3: 1:8,119
 0 45 90 180 270
 Meters

	Revision:	1
	Date:	20/06/2021
	Created By:	Jonathan DeLore
	Approved By:	Jonathan DeLore

Project (A2)	Alumina Dust Emission Reduction
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Alumina dust emissions from Boyne Smelters Limited (BSL) conveyor transfer points, product load-out and island and mainland alumina storage A-frames have a potential to impact on the air quality of surrounding communities.
Project solution / options	- Identify options to reduce alumina dust emissions from high risk release points.
Objective / performance outcome	- Mitigation of alumina dust emissions
Performance Indicator	- Study phase identifies options to reduce emissions from alumina dust sources in the refinery and South Trees Island and they are progressed to engineering. - No visible dust emissions from release points from completed projects.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 23.0
Project Stage	<p>Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Island and Mainland A-frames - Hydrate and alumina transfer system - Further work to finalise the projects that will deliver an alumina dust reduction from these sources has been required and an extension of the study phase is also required. - It is expected that two defined projects focusing on the A frame storages will be moved into engineering in Q3 2021. <p>Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alumina loadout, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engineering will be conducted for the design of a new ship loader and improvements to the wharf gallery and transfer tower. <p>Complete</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - BSL belt conveyor upgrade works
Comments	- The alumina loadout dust reduction project has been identified as a priority and data on quantifying dust emissions has been collected. - The A frame storage's and conveyor systems study has commenced.

Implementation Schedule

Alumina Dust Emissions Reduction																						
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022				2023					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Alumina Loadout			Complete																			
A-frames, alumina and hydrate transfer systems																						
BSL alumina transfer upgrade	Complete																					
Study	Engineering	Execution																				



Alumina Dust Emissions


Legend

- Ship Loadout
- Island A Frame
- BSL Conveyor and Transfer Points
- Conveyors and Transfer Points
- Mainland A Frame

Drawn: © 2014, SGL (AG) & RHYD, and SGL & RUSAL. All rights reserved. This document is confidential and intended for internal use only. It is not to be distributed outside the organization. Any unauthorized disclosure or use of this information is strictly prohibited. All other rights reserved.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:12,834



N 	Revision	A
	Date	21/10/2016
	Created By	Jonathan Dalton
	Approved By	Jonathan Dalton

Project (A3)	Odour Emission to Community
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Odour releases with potential for impact on air quality of surrounding communities.
Project solution / options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conduct an odour study including comparative analysis with previous 2006 study to determine an odour baseline - Capture current uncaptured organic emission sources, and - Address redundancy concerns with current treatment system
Objective / performance outcome	- Minimise QAL odour profile within the community
Performance Indicator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Odour baseline is established when refinery is operating on Amrun bauxite. - Study phase identifies options to reduce emissions from odour sources in the refinery and they are progressed to engineering. - Selected engineering option is progressed to execution
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 39.5

Project Stage	<p>Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The investigation into sources of untreated organic emissions at the refinery has been completed and the relief tank odour has been identified as the source with the highest likelihood of impacting on the community. Two sources contribute to the odour emissions from the relief tanks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Condensate reflash, and • Organics recycled in condensate from digestion. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Air stripping VOC's from the digestion condensate is being studied. A pilot air stripping unit is being constructed and is expected to be onsite in August to provide information for the engineering phase on sizing of a full scale unit. ▪ Volatile organics stripped from the condensate into the air stream will be treated in an odour destruction unit. <p>Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Condensate reflash – Barometric condensing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option has been chosen to move into engineering as it removes all condensate reflash steam from the relief tank using the seawater supply to the waste tanks. <p>Execution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NTP Unit installation on Wash water tanks. <p>Completed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Baseline odour sampling, - Non-thermal plasma trial, - Odour modelling based on baseline sampling, - Wash water heater trial, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The wash water heater trial demonstrated that odourous vapour can be condensed into the seawater flow sent to the waste tanks. - The new thermal oxidizer (TO) has been commissioned and its operation will reduce down time where spent liquor heaters are venting directly to atmosphere. - Evaporation heater vent condensate collection improvement project.
---------------	--

Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - QAL are progressing individual trials: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete - trials on barometric condensing of relief tank vapour utilizing the wash water heater, and • Trials utilizing non-thermal plasma have been run on the bad wash water tank, the blow off tank and a holding tank. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Odour destruction has been greater than 90% and up to 95% on the bad wash water tank, with results on the blow off tank and holding tank emissions at 70% to 75%.
----------	---

Implementation schedule

Task	Odour Emission to Community															
	2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Odour source sampling and modelling	Complete															
Blow off Tank vapour wash water heater condensing trial			Complete													
Alternate odour treatment technology trial - Non thermal plasma		Complete														
Evap heater vent condensate collection	Complete		Complete		Complete											
Second thermal oxidiser	Complete		Complete													
NTP Unit installation on Bad Wash Water Tank					Complete		Complete									
Relief Tank Odour Reduction - Barometric Seawater Condensing									Complete							
Relief Tank Odour Reduction - Air Stripping																



Study
Engineering
Execution



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Odour Emissions to Community


Legend

-  Alkali and Odour Emissions Reduction
-  Thermal Oxidiser

© 2014 QAL. All rights reserved. This document is the property of QAL and is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of QAL. QAL does not accept any liability for any errors or omissions in this document. QAL is not responsible for any loss or damage arising from the use of this document.

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:5,553



	Revision	A
	Date	21/06/2016
	Created By	Jonathan Dalton
	Approved By	Jonathan Dalton

Project (A4)	Coal Stockpile Dust Emissions
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Fugitive dust emissions from working and strategic coal stockpiles have the potential to impact on the air quality of surrounding communities.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for dust mitigation, including dust suppression and prevention measures on working and strategic coal stockpiles.
Objective / performance outcome	- Improved monitoring capability and reduction of potential for dust emissions
Performance Indicator	- Selected engineering option is progressed to execution.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 1.0
Project Stage	Execution - Install upgraded sprinkler system on the working coal stockpile and dry fogging on the loadout hopper.
Comments	- The strategic coal stockpile has been treated with a chemical sealant. - Upgraded water sprays have been chosen for dust mitigation at the working coal stockpile and dry fogging on the loadout hopper.

Implementation Schedule

Coal Stockpile Dust Mitigation																
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Install upgraded spinkler system	Complete				Complete											
	Study	Engineering	Execution													

Project (A5)	Bauxite Transfer Dust Emissions
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Fugitive dust emissions from bauxite transfer system have the potential to impact on air quality in the surrounding community.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for reduction of dust emissions from the bauxite transfer system.
Objective / performance outcome	- Reduced dust emissions from bauxite transfer systems
Performance Indicator	- Bauxite dust emissions from return side of the wharf conveyor are mitigated.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 0.8
Project Stage	Complete - Install a belt washer station and improved scrapers
Comments	- Results from a measuring system installed on the bauxite conveyor has identified carry back as a significant dust source.

Implementation Schedule


Bauxite Transfer Dust Reduction Study												
Task	2019				2020				2021			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Install belt wash station and upgrade scrapers	Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete								
Study	Engineering	Execution										



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Bauxite Transfer Dust Emissions


Legend

 Bauxite conveyor and transfer points

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED (QAL) is a subsidiary of Rio Tinto Limited (RTO). This document is the property of QAL and is not to be distributed outside of QAL without the prior written consent of QAL. All rights reserved. QAL is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this document. This document is for internal use only and is not to be used for any other purpose.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3 1:12,500



N 	Revision:	1
	Date:	20/06/2021
	Created by:	Jonathan Dallas
	Approved by:	Jonathan Dallas

Project (A6)	Ash Dam Dust Emissions
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Fugitive dust (ash) emission emissions have the potential to impact on air quality of surrounding communities.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for reduction of dusting events from the ash through dust suppression and mitigation options
Objective / performance outcome	- Minimise dust generation from #4 Ash Dam
Performance Indicator	- Engineering option is progressed to execution.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 4.5
Project Stage	Execution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Install new ring main and saltwater pump to provide seawater to be applied to Cell's 3 and 4 during a rain event. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work will begin in 2022 as there external approvals requirements for this project. . - Boilerhouse ash slurry pumps will be utilized to apply saltwater and ash to Cell 2 in the event of a rain event.
Comments	Dust occurs after rainfall, due to the fresh water breaking the salt crust formed on the surface of the cells.

Implementation Schedule

	Study	Engineering	Execution																	
Ash Dam Dust Emissions																				
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022				2023			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Engineering for dust mitigation on ash dam	Complete				Complete															

Water Management Improvement Projects

QAL's plan to improve water quality management over the next five years is supported by targets, actions and a program of works summarised below. Capital spend is estimated at between A\$90 million to A\$175 million.

Project (W1)	Raw Material Spill from Wharf
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Release of raw materials including caustic and bauxite to Gladstone harbour during unloading operations has the potential to impact on the water quality of Port Curtis.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for reduction of spillage of raw materials (bauxite) from the wharf during unloading activities and mitigate the potential for release of caustic during unloading
Objective / performance outcome	- Reduce risk of release of raw material spills during unloading operations
Performance Indicator	- Gaps in the wharf are filled in.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 0.75
Project Stage	Execution - Gaps within the wharf bunds provide pathways for materials to be released into the water.
Comments	

Implementation Schedule

Raw Material Spill from Wharf																
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Fill in opennigs on wharf																
	Study	Engineering	Execution													



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Raw Material Spill from Wharf


Legend

 Wharf - Raw Material Spill

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it is issued and is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited. All rights reserved. Queensland Alumina Limited is not responsible for any loss or damage arising from the use of this document.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:4,442



	Revision:	1
	Date:	20/06/2021
	Created by:	Jonathan DeLor
	Approved by:	Jonathan DeLor

Project W2	Coal Stockpile Stormwater Runoff
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Release of coal contaminated stormwater to receiving environment has the potential to impact on the water quality in Port Cutis.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for reduction in total suspended solids load from the coal stockpiles into the east and west coal stilling ponds, which allows ponds to meet release limits - Investigate options to improve west coal stilling pond neutralisation capability
Objective / performance outcome	- Mitigate risk of coal contaminated stormwater leaving the coal stockpile catchment area
Performance Indicator	- Study phase identifies options to reduce coal fines runoff from coal stockpiles in the refinery and improve neutralisation capacity of the west coal stilling pond and they are progressed to engineering.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 2.2
Project Stage	<p>Engineering</p> <p>Phase 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improvement in neutralisation capacity in the west coal stilling pond, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Automation of the seawater inlet valve, • Improved seawater distribution to maximise mixing. - <p>Execution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - TSS functionality improvements for the west coal stilling pond have been progressed into execution, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Works to stop seepage around the weir, • Re-establish the functionality of the dividing wall for sediment control and contaminated water segregation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Install additional fingers to lengthen the flow path and allow for easier desilting.
Comments	

Implementation Schedule

Task	Coal Stockpile Stormwater Runoff																			
	2019				2020				2021				2022				2023			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Phase 2 Study	Complete																			
Improve west coal stilling pond functionality - Neutralisation									█	█	█	█	█							
Improve west coal stilling pond functionality - TSS					Complete				█	█	█	█	█	█	█					

Study
Engineering
Execution



Coal Stockpile Runoff

Legend

- East Coal Stilling Pond
- West Coal Stilling Pond
- Strategic Coal Stockpile
- Working Coal Stockpile

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
 2021/06/01
 Scale at A3 1:9,884
 0 50 100 200 300
 Meters
 Revision: 0
 Date: 08/06/2021
 Created By: JONATHAN DALLON
 Drawn By: JONATHAN DALLON
 Approved By: JONATHAN DALLON

Project (W3)	Waste line 4 Integrity
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Failure of Waste Line 4 into marine or terrestrial environments will result in release of partially neutralised red mud with a potential to contaminate land or water and impact on the water quality in South Trees Inlet and Port Curtis.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for replacement of waste line 4 capacity that includes options that further reduces the risk of a pipeline failure. - Ensure integrity of pipeline supports
Objective / performance outcome	- No loss of containment incidents
Performance Indicator	- 20 years life of waste line 4 with minimal risk of loss of containment incidents
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 50.95
Project Stage	Execution
Comments	- Replacement of the pipeline outside the marine zone are underway on the refinery and RDA sides of South Trees Inlet.

Implementation Schedule

Task	Waste Line 4 Capacity																	
	2018		2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Waste line 4 capacity replacement	Complete				Complete													
Study																		
Engineering																		
Execution																		



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Waste Line 4


Legend

 Waste Line 4

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it is issued. It is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited. All rights reserved.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:44,421



	Revised By:	J. J. J.
	Created By:	Jonathan Deane
	Checked By:	Jonathan Deane
	Approved By:	Jonathan Deane


Project (W4)	East & West Contaminants Ponds
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- The East and West contaminants ponds capture contaminated stormwater runoff for recycling into the process. Insufficient capacity has the potential to impact the capability of the contaminated stormwater treatment system prior to release and has a potential to impact on the water quality in Port Curtis. A further potential impact exists for groundwater from the unlined east contaminants pond.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options to ensure that all core refinery process areas are directed to a contaminants pond, - Options for lining and expanding the east contaminants pond, and - Investigate options to increase the pump and pipe capacity from the ponds to the process.
Objective / performance outcome	- Ensure containment ponds prevent land and groundwater contamination and mitigates the risk of stormwater contamination
Performance Indicator	- Study phase identifies options to prevent land and groundwater contamination from contaminants ponds and they are progressed to engineering.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 11.2
Project Stage	<p>Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The schedule for the study phase for the East Contaminant Pond has extended to allow for internal approvals to be completed. This project will move into the engineering phase in Q3 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The detailed design will look at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lining of the existing ECP, ▪ Installation of a new additional lined ECP pond at the location of the waste transfer facility (to be relocated) <p>Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Finalise pump sizing and pipeline requirements for west contaminates pond.

Comments	<p>The contaminates pond project has been split into two specific focus projects;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • West contaminates pond pump upgrade and piping. • East contaminates pond location and lining options. • This has been done in respect of the different levels of effort that need to be applied to each of the ponds.
----------	---

Implementation Schedule

Task	East and West Contaminants Ponds																			
	2019				2020				2021				2022				2023			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
West contaminates pond				Complete																
East contaminates pond																				

Study Engineering Execution



Contaminants Ponds


Legend

- East Pond
- West Pond

© 2016 QAL (ACN 611771) and QAL (ACN 611772) are registered companies in Queensland, Australia. All rights reserved. This document is the property of QAL and is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of QAL.

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:4,274



N
 Revision: A
 Date: 21/02/2016
 Created By: Jonathan Dalton
 Reviewed By:
 Approved By: Jonathan Dalton

Project (W5)	Refinery Water Release Management
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Releases from the sweetening bauxite pond and the west gate wetland have the potential to impact on water quality in Port Cutis.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options to improve monitoring at the sweetening bauxite pond and the wetland release - Identify options to upgrade mill sump water system to minimise release to the stormwater system
Objective / performance outcome	- Ensure pH, suspended solids and other release water quality parameters are maintained within limits and no uncontrolled release to receiving environment
Performance Indicator	- Study phase identifies options to improve water quality at the west gate wetland, mill 10 area and sweetening bauxite pond and they are progressed to engineering. - 85% availability of online instruments at the sweetening bauxite pond and wetland release point
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 5.2
Project Stage	<p>Execution – Phase 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Westgate pond - Improve capacity and functionality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase capacity of the pond • Install mid pond continuous pH monitoring, • Relocate the seawater discharge location to allow for better access and mixing in the pond. - Mill 10 sump; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve management of bauxite in the bund, • Ensure grades within the bund are correct, • Install an additional drive-in sump to allow for collection of bauxite and fast reclaim. - Bauxite Sweetening Pond: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-treatment pond to reduce bauxite and bauxite fines from the mono bauxite stockpile entering the bauxite sweetening pond. <p>Complete – Phase 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wetland Release: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V-notch weir and flow meter installed, • Continuous water quality monitoring installed. - Sweetening Bauxite Pond <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuous water quality monitoring installed, • A level sensor installed.
Comments	




Implementation Schedule

Task	Refinery water release improvement																			
	2019				2020				2021				2022				2023			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Phase 2 Study				Complete																
Install V notch weir and continuous monitoring at Westgate Release Point	Complete																			
Install continuous monitoring at sweetening bauxite pond Release Point	Complete																			
Mill 10 bauxite stormwater pre-treatment upgrade								Complete												
Westgate pond improvements								Complete												
Bauxite sweetening pond bauxite stormwater runoff Pretreatment from mono stockpile								Complete												

Study Engineering Execution



Refinery Stormwater Legend

-  Mill 10 Area
-  Sweetening Bauxite Pond
-  West Gate Release

Copyright © 2011 Rio Tinto Alcan. All rights reserved. This document is the property of Rio Tinto Alcan. It is to be used for the purposes of the project only and is not to be distributed outside the project team. All other rights reserved. This document is the property of Rio Tinto Alcan. All other rights reserved.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:11,105

0 62.5125 250 375

Meters


 Revision: 0
 Date: 28/06/2018
 Created by: Jonathan Dallas
 Approved by: Jonathan Dallas

Project (W6)	RDA Surface/Ground water Impacts
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Impacts to surface and groundwater from the RDA that have the potential to impact on the water quality in South Trees Inlet and Port Curtis and terrestrial ecosystems surrounding the RDA.
Project solution / options	- Identify the sources, pathways and receptors of potential RDA surface and groundwater impacts and options for any required mitigation.
Objective / performance outcome	- Prevent impact of contaminants from RDA on groundwater - Mitigate the impact of the RDA on adjacent surface water systems and ensure relevant environmental values are protected
Performance Indicator	- Vegetation in Black Breasted Button Quail (BBBQ) habitat do not show any signs of degradation and rehabilitated areas (to be completed) show no sign of impact after cut off wall is installed and soil in impacted areas is flushed. - A robust monitoring program is in place for the early detection of impact to vegetation, groundwater and marine communities. - Study phase (Environmental Evaluation) identifies options to address any areas of concern and these options are progressed to engineering. - Engineering options are progressed to execution
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 54

Project Stage	<p>Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The outcome of stage 2 (EE report) will identify priority areas for engineering for ground/surface water around the red mud dams. <p>Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HRMD (historic red mud dam) north and west seepage mitigation. Designs for cut off walls and drains are being finalised. <p>Completed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - EE Stage 1 – install cut-off wall to mitigate impact on BBBQ bird habitat; - EE Stage 2 – Install a cut off wall along the eastern side of the HRMD. - Install a drain along the eastern side of the HRMD. - Install a cut off wall and drain along the western side of the northern decant pond – cell 1 to intercept potential seepage from the pond.
Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Groundwater removal on the dam side of the cut-off wall has resulted in sustained lower water levels and there has been no matching decrease in groundwater level within the BBBQ habitat, strongly indicating that the cut-off wall is functioning as designed. - The study period for the environmental evaluation has been extended to address a request for further information.

Implementation Schedule

RDA Surface/Groundwater Impacts																
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Complete environmental evaluation	Study	Study	Study	Study	Study	Study	Study	Study								
EE Stage 1 - Install cut-off wall to mitigate impact on BBBQ habitat and recovery pumps	Complete															
EE Stage 2 - Install cut-off wall/trench along eastern side of historical RDA		Complete			Complete											
Cell 1 cut-off wall and drain									Complete							
HRMD North and West Seepage Mitigation											Engineering	Engineering	Engineering	Engineering		



 **QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED**

**RDA
Groundwater
Impacts**

Legend

-  EE Stage 1
-  EE Stage 2

Downloaded from Google Earth on 21/06/2021. All rights reserved. This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited.

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:39,597

0 220,440 880 1,320
Meters

	<small>Revision</small>	<small>A</small>
	<small>Date</small>	<small>21/06/2021</small>
	<small>Created By</small>	<small>Jonathan Dalton</small>
	<small>Approved By</small>	<small>Jonathan Dalton</small>

Project (W7)	RDA Release to Receiving Environment
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- The chemistry of the red mud dam discharge into South trees Inlet has been changing over the past 5 to 10 years. This, together with the siltation of South Trees Inlet and decrease in water flow, has resulted in low dissolved oxygen between the discharge site and confluence of the Boyne River and has the potential to impact on the water quality in South Trees Inlet and the Boyne River.
Project solution / options	- Identify the cause of the change in water quality and investigate mitigation options, including improvements to release water quality, alternative release point locations or improving flow in South Trees Inlet.
Objective / performance outcome	- Ensure the environmental values of South Trees Inlet are protected in the long term
Performance Indicators	- Study phase identifies options to reduce the impact of the RDA discharge and options are progressed to engineering. - Engineering options are progressed to execution. - Cell 1 operation reduces BOD
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 70.0
Project Stage	<p>Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The study phase for the selection of a new discharge location has commenced. <p>Execution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Construction of Cell 1 of the northern decant management and treatment area is nearing completion and will provide for treatment of a percent of the decant via the pond. - Cell 2 of the northern management and treatment area has entered the construction phase and will be linked with cell 1 when completed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operation of cell 1 will provide information that will assist with determining the ultimate size of cell 2.
Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Two facultative treatment cells have been chosen to treat the seawater before it is returned South Trees Inlet. - A better location in Port Curtis is being investigated as an alternate release point.

Implementation Schedule


Task	RDA Alternative Discharge Location																			
	2019				2020				2021				2022				2023			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Northern Decant Pond - Cell 1				Complete																
Northern Decant Pond - final configuration									Complete											
Alternate Discharge Location																				

Study **Engineering** Execution



RDA Discharge

Legend

-  Licenced Discharge Point

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
 1000 St Albans Drive, St Albans, QLD 4050
 Australia
 Phone: +61 7 552 22000
 Email: enquiries@qal.com.au
 Website: www.qal.com.au

Queensland System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58
 Scale at A3: 1:44,421
 0 245 490 980 1,470
 Meters

Revision: 0
 Date: 20/06/2021
 Created By: Jonathan Deane
 Drawn By:
 Approved By: Jonathan Deane

Project (W8)	High Risk Pipelines
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Caustic release to the environment as a result of failure of pipeline from the storage area (island) to refinery (mainland) across causeway with potential to impact on the water quality in Port Curtis.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options to upgrade high risk pipelines (caustic and contaminated water) to reduce the risk of a pipeline failure
Objective / performance outcome	- Reduce the risk of off-site contamination
Performance Indicator	- High risk pipelines are installed to provide a 20 year life with a reduction in likelihood of failure.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 10.5
Project Stage	Execution
Comments	Construction of the new pipelines is well underway.

Implementation Schedule


High Risk Pipelines																
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Investigate options for replacement of high risk pipelines	Study	Engineering	Engineering	Engineering	Engineering	Execution	Execution	Execution	Execution	Execution	Execution	Execution	Execution	Execution		



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED


High Risk Pipelines

Legend

 High Risk Pipelines

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
This map is a site plan and is not intended to be used as a legal document. It is provided for information only and does not constitute an offer of any financial product or service. It is subject to change without notice. For more information, please contact the relevant department.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58
Scale at A3: 1:11,096



N 	Revision:	1
	Date:	20/06/2021
	Created By:	Jonathan DeLore
	Approved By:	Jonathan DeLore


Land management improvement projects


QAL's five-year land stewardship programme, supported by targets, actions and a programme of works is summarised below. Capital spend is estimated at between A\$20 million to A\$35 million.

Project (L1)	Integrity of Bunds and Drains
Risk class	- IV/Critical
Environmental risks	- Seepage from bunded refinery areas has the potential to contaminate groundwater.
Project solution / options	- Bunded refinery area and drainage integrity rectification.
Objective / performance outcome	- Restore the integrity of refinery area bunds and drains
Performance Indicator	- All areas identified for remediation are repaired
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 39.3
Project Stage	<p>Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complete engineering design for bunds and drains that were identified during the study phase requiring repairs. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete engineering design on high risk (Class IV) bunds and drains • Complete engineering design on lower risk (Class III) bunds and drains. <p>Execution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High risk bunds and drains will be prioritised for repair works and will move from engineering into execution once a construction package has been prepared. - Bunds in execution: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New sulphuric acid storage and handling facility • HID1/5 bund repair, and - Drains in execution: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1st Street Drain (above ground), and • November Street Drain (above ground) <p>Completed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Above ground section of 7th street drain, • HID ¾, • A precipitation row concrete remediation works, • Tertiary tanks 1-4 nib wall replacement, and • Sulphuric acid tank bund interim remediation. • 5th Street drain, • EF row concrete remediation works, and • Bravo Street Drain. • Sierra Street Drain. • Tertiary tank 5 bund repairs, and • 9th Street Drain

Comments	- The Bund and drain inspection program has identified high, medium and low risk bunds and drains requiring remediation.
----------	--

Implementation Schedule







Project (L2)	Ground Water Impact to South Trees Island Tank Farm
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Caustic impact to ground water and potential to migrate to receiving environment (DES EPO STAT 1249 related) and has the potential to impact on groundwater quality.
Project solution / options	- Maintain and monitor current extraction program beneath bund 4, South Trees Island - Review integrity of existing groundwater monitoring wells reinstate/upgrade as necessary and recommence monitoring program
Objective / performance outcome	- Historic ground water impact to South Trees Island does not migrate and is reduced over time
Performance Indicator	- No increase in pH in groundwater bores outside of bund with extraction system operational

Cost estimate A\$ million	- 1.0
Project Stage	Completed
Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Groundwater pumping is ongoing. - Monitoring of groundwater will continue and opportunities to improve the system will continue to be investigated based on the results of the ongoing monitoring.



Groundwater Impacts at South Trees Island Tank Farm


Legend

-  Bund 2
-  Bund 4

Copyright © 2016, Rio Tinto Alcan. All rights reserved. This document is confidential and its disclosure is restricted to the intended recipients only. It is not to be distributed, copied, or used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of Rio Tinto Alcan. This document is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer or recommendation of any financial product or service. It is not intended to be relied upon as a basis for any investment decision. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 MGA Zone 58

Scale at A3 1:2,981



	Revision	A
	Date	21/02/2016
	Created By	Jonathan Dalton
	Reviewed By	Jonathan Dalton

Project (L3)	Mineral Waste Disposal Facility – Stage 2
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Potential for land contamination from landfilled mineral wastes and potential for impact on water quality in South Trees Inlet; secondary potential impact to effectiveness of current recycling program
Project solution / options	- Investigate the options for location of another mineral waste disposal facility - Investigate options to ensure design improves land contamination controls
Objective / performance outcome	- Execute the design for the mineral waste disposal facility.
Performance Indicator	- Minimise and contain seepage from the mineral waste disposal facility.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 2.6
Project Stage	Execution - Mineral Waste Disposal Facility stage 2 Engineering - An interception drain has identified as the best option to minimise the risk of seepage migration.
Comments	- Execution schedule has been significantly delayed and estimated completion is now expected in 2022, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional detailed design has been identified in additional scope items, including the western diversion drain and upgrades to the leachate sump pumping. Seepage mitigation has been included as a separate co-committed project.

Implementation Schedule


Mineral Waste Disposal Facility																
Task	2019				2020				2021				2022			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Implement design for stage 2 of the MWDF	Complete															
Mineral waste seepage mitigation									Complete							
	Study	Engineering	Execution													



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Mineral Waste Facility - Stage 2


Legend

 Mineral Waste Facility

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it is issued. It is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited. All rights reserved.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3: 1:9,359



N 	Revision:	1
	Date:	20/06/2021
	Created By:	Jonathan DeLore
	Approved By:	Jonathan DeLore

Project (L4)	Sewerage System Upgrade
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Potential for land contamination from sewerage system installed during initial and subsequent plant construction phases and potential to contaminate groundwater. - Introduction of inadequately treated effluent to the RDA
Project solution / options	- Investigate the condition of the sewerage system to identify issues and assess options to rectify identified issues - Review the current operation of the sewage treatment system and look at requirement for upgrade
Objective / performance outcome	- Reduce the risk of the release of sewage to land
Performance Indicator	- Engineering solution progressed to execution
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 2.0
Project Stage	Engineering - Option to refurbish existing sewage treatment plant, and - Repair sewage pipes
Comments	- Phase change back to engineering, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This project will be undertaken in 2 steps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Repair the sewage lines, and ▪ Refurbish the STP. • The first step must be completed before the STP can be taken off line and inspected. • As a part of the engineering phase a cost estimate is developed to inform the decision to proceed into the execution phase where costs from contractors are not available. • Approval for both steps of this project was based on the engineering cost estimate. • Tendered costs were significantly higher than the engineering cost estimate. • Given this the project team must now reevaluate the scope and costs and move back through the approval gates before execution phase can be approved. • Because of this delay, QAL has completed a temporary repair to the sewage pipelines.

Implementation Schedule

Project (L6)	Closure Pilot - RDA rehabilitation
Risk class	- II/medium
Environmental risks	- Implementation of a long term effective cover system for closure at the RDA
Project solution / options	- Undertake research of cover systems for closure of the RDA.
Objective / performance outcome	- Determine if a long term cover system can be sustained at the RDA.
Performance Indicator	- Study phase identifies options for long term remediation of the red mud dams. - Trial plot at RDA sustains vegetation to show proof of concept for the project.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 1.75
Project Stage	Engineering - The remaining four years of the strategy will determine the long term viability of making technosoils from red mud.
Comments	- A Pilot rehabilitation trial is established at the Residue Disposal Area. - QAL and the University of Queensland were recognised with the 2019 Partners in Research Excellence Awards for work on the development of technosoils at the RDA.

Implementation Schedule

RDA Closure Rehabilitation																										
Task	2018					2019					2020				2021				2022				2023			
	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Investigate closure cover options for the RDA	Complete																									


Study Engineering Execution



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

RDA Rehabilitation - Pilot Study


Legend

 Rehabilitation Trial Area

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
 This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes stated in the title and for the specific project mentioned. It is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58

Scale at A3 1:9,359



	Revised By:	J. DELANEY
	Created By:	JONATHAN DELANEY
	Checked By:	JONATHAN DELANEY
	Approved By:	JONATHAN DELANEY

Project (L7)	Oil/water facility resizing
Risk class	- II/Medium
Environmental risks	- Land contamination from oily wastes with the potential to impact groundwaters and the water quality in South Trees Inlet.
Project solution / options	- Install an oil/water separator at the waste transfer facility
Objective / performance outcome	- To improve management of oily wastes.
Performance Indicator	- Management of oily/water on site is improved. - Oil spills at the refinery are reduced.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 0.835
Project Stage	Complete - All oil/water separator and storage facility has been completed and has been commissioned.
Comments	- This facility provides a permanent location for the storage of oil waste prior to transport off-site to a recycling facility.

Implementation Schedule

Oil/water Separation Facility										
Task	2018	2019				2020				
	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Complete design for upgrade to oil/water separator at waste transfer station	Complete	Complete								


Study Engineering Execution



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Proposed Oil Water Facility

Legend

 Oil water facility

QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED
 This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it is issued and is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited. Queensland Alumina Limited is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this document. Queensland Alumina Limited is not liable for any loss or damage, including consequential loss or damage, arising from the use of this document.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 UTM Zone 58
 Scale at A3: 1:7,019
 0 40 80 160 240
 Meters

Revision	1	01/06/2021
Created By	Jonathan DeLore	
Checked By	Jonathan DeLore	
Approved By	Jonathan DeLore	

Project (L8)	Strategy for Caustic Storage
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Current South Trees Island storage location has risks (substrate) for location of a tank farm and a risk of groundwater contamination.
Project solution / options	- Investigate options for a long term caustic storage tank farm.
Objective / performance outcome	- Confirm current locations suitability for the tank farm or; - Identify suitable alternate locations for the caustic storage tank farm
Performance Indicator	- Execution phase – all operational caustic storage tanks are inspected, all faults repaired and returned to service with RPEQ signoff.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 18.1
Project Stage	Completed - Tank 1515 - Tank T15Q005 - Tank T15Q006
Comments	- QAL has decided to continue to store caustic soda in bunds 2 and 4 at South Trees Island. - All operational caustic storage tanks in bunds 2 and 4 at South Trees Island have been brought off-line, cleaned, inspected and any faults rectified prior to the tanks being returned to service. - Tank T15Q006 has been brought offline and an access hole has been cut into the side of the tank and the clean out process has commenced.

Implementation Schedule

Caustic Storage Strategy

Task	2019				2020			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Inspect and repair tank T1515	Complete							
Inspect and repair tank T15Q005			Complete					
Inspect and repair tank T15Q006				Complete				

Study Engineering Execution



 QUEENSLAND ALUMINA LIMITED

Groundwater Impacts at South Trees Island Tank Farm

Legend


 Bund 2

 Bund 4

© 2014 Queensland Alumina Limited. All rights reserved. This document is the property of Queensland Alumina Limited and is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used without the prior written consent of Queensland Alumina Limited.

Coordinate System: GDA 1984 MGA Zone 58

Scale at A3 1:2,981



	Revision	A
	Date	21/06/2016
	Created By	Jonathan Dalton
	Approved By	Jonathan Dalton

Other Environmental Improvement Projects

In addition to projects that address the specific environmental parameters of air, water and land, projects that address other aspects of the operation have also been identified below.

Project (O1)	Noise Emissions
Risk class	- III/High
Environmental risks	- Community noise impact from operational and maintenance works
Project solution / options	- Review current noise impact management including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efficacy of implemented controls on hydro-blasting • Adequacy of noise monitoring program; • Noise source assessment; • Plant and equipment noise mitigation options; and • Operational improvements (e.g. timing of noisy work practices)
Objective / performance outcome	- Reduce QAL noise footprint
Performance Indicator	- Study phase – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verifies high risk noise sources are adequately managed.
Cost estimate A\$ million	- 0.4
Project Stage	Study <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete Completed Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emergency diesel generator building noise reduction paneling has been installed.
Comments	- Noise surveys show that QAL meets the EA conditions, - High noise generating activities, such as hydroblasting are regulated through on-site procedures that limit the time these activities can be undertaken. Specific high noise exposure areas will be targeted in conjunction with occupational hygiene projects. These projects will target plant and equipment that exceed occupational exposure limits and will have an improvement on environmental noise.

Implementation Schedule

Noise Emissions

Task	2018	2019				2020			
	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Review current noise impact management						Complete			

Study Engineering Execution